



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,975	11/20/2003	Ha Chu Vu	08211/0200375-US0/P05816	2727
38845	7590	05/25/2006	EXAMINER	
DARBY & DARBY P.C. P.O. BOX 5257 NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257			SHERMAN, STEPHEN G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/718,975	Applicant(s) VU, HA CHU	
	Examiner Stephen G. Sherman	Art Unit 2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 November 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 April 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3-17-04</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because it recites the limitation "the color signal." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The claims should be change to recite "the analog color signal."
2. Claim 15 is objected to because it recites the limitation "the four phased signals." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The claims should be change to recite "the phased signals."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Art Unit: 2629

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Agarwal et al. (US 2004/0032406) in view of Donnelly et al. (US 2004/0223571).

Regarding claim 1, Agarwal et al. disclose an interface circuit for processing an analog color signal comprising:

a phase locked loop (PLL) circuit adapted to generate a plurality of phased signals from a synchronizing signal that is associated with the color signal (Figure 4, item 416 and paragraph [0027] explain that PLL can perform frequency synthesis on the HSYNC signal.);

a phase adjuster adapted to generate a delay signal (Figure 4, item 406 and paragraph [0027] explain that the delay generator can introduce inter-pixel phase delays in equal intervals.); and

an analog to digital converter adapted to process the color signal as controlled by the delay signal (Figure 4 shows digitizer 402 which contains ADCs 411, 412 and 414 as explained in paragraph [0027].).

Agarwal et al. fail to disclose of the phase adjuster adapted to generate a delay signal from two of the phased signals that are apart from each other by an odd multiple of approximately 45 degrees.

Donnelly et al. disclose of a phase adjuster which is adapted to generate a delay signal from two phased signals that are apart from each other by an odd multiple of approximately 45 degrees (Paragraph [0036] and Figure 12 explain that the DLL 100 shown in Figure 1 generates phase vectors spaced at 45 degree intervals. Then in combination with the circuit shown in Figure 6, circuit 500 is able to generate the delay signal output clk 640 from two of the vectors which are spaced 45 degrees apart.).

Therefore it would have been obvious to "one of ordinary skill" in the art at the time the invention was made to use the phase adjuster taught by Donnelly et al. to replace the phase adjuster taught by Agarwal et al. in order to provide a method and circuitry to generate a set of phase vectors in a way that is more immune to noise on loop inputs including power supplies, leading to a more stable set of phase vectors.

Regarding claim 2, Agarwal et al. and Donnelly et al. disclose the circuit of claim 1.

Agarwal et al. also disclose wherein the synchronizing signal is intended to generate a pixel clock in a display, and the phased signals replicate those of the pixel clock (Paragraph [0027] explains that the HSYNC corresponds to a pixel clock and that the signals output by the PLL set the pixel clock frequency.).

Regarding claim 3, Agarwal et al. and Donnelly et al. disclose the circuit of claim 1.

Donnelly et al. also disclose wherein the phase adjuster includes:

a first phase selector for selecting a first one of the phased signals (Figure 6, selection circuitry 510 selects a first one of the phased signals K<r:0> Kx 520.);

a second phase selector for selecting a second one of the phased signals (Figure 6, selection circuitry 510 selects a first one of the phased signals K<r:0> Ky 530.); and

a phase mixer for multiplying the first selected phased signal with a first weight, multiplying the second selected phased signal with a second weight, and adding together the first and the second multiplied phased signals to derive the delay signal (Figure 6, phase interpolator 560 is explained in paragraphs [0055]- [0057], where the values of currents 1720 and 1730 are adjusted such that the combination of the two signals can create any delay.).

Regarding claim 4, Agarwal et al. and Donnelly et al. disclose the circuit of claim 3.

Donnelly et al. also disclose wherein the phase adjuster further includes:

a decoder to generate phase selection signals for selecting the first and second phased signals (Figure 6 shows that control circuit 570 creates sel<s:0> to select the first and second phase signals as explained in paragraph [0057].).

Regarding claim 5, Agarwal et al. and Donnelly et al. disclose the circuit of claim 4.

Donnelly et al. also disclose wherein some of the phase selection signals are received into the phase mixer (As explained above, the examiner interprets that the

selection signals received by the selection circuitry 510 are received into the phase interpolator 560 via the selected signals Kx and Ky.).

Regarding claim 6, Agarwal et al. and Donnelly et al. disclose the circuit of claim 3.

Donnelly et al. also disclose wherein the phase adjuster further includes:
a Phase Digital to Analog Converter for generating a first weight signal representing the first weight and a second weight signal representing the second weight, and wherein the phase mixer receives the first weight signal and the second weight signal to derive the delay signal (Figures 6 and 18 and paragraph [0056] explain that the currents 1720 and 1730 that are used to weight the signals must be received by the phase interpolator 560 in order to derive the delay signal stating that the phase interpolator functions as a weighted integrator. Paragraph [0057] explains that the phase interpolator is adjusted to produce an output.).

Regarding claim 7, Agarwal et al. and Donnelly et al. disclose the circuit of claim 6.

Donnelly et al. also disclose wherein the phase adjuster further includes:
a decoder to generate weight selection signals for generating the first and second weight signals (Figure 6 shows that control circuit 570 create the signals applied to phase interpolator 560 for selecting the weighted signals.).

Regarding claim 8, Agarwal et al. and Donnelly et al. disclose the circuit of claim 6.

Donnelly et al. also disclose wherein the first and second weights have a substantially constant sum total weight (Paragraph [0056] explains that the currents 1720 and 1730 are adjustable but that if one or the other is at maximum and minimum then the delay can be generated different ways meaning that the sum weight is substantially constant.).

Regarding claim 9, Agarwal et al. and Donnelly et al. disclose the circuit of claim 8.

Donnelly et al. also disclose wherein the Phase Digital to Analog converter includes

a first current source drawing a first current that represents the first weight (Figure 18 and paragraph [0056] explain current source 1720 draws a first current.),

a second current source drawing a second current that represents the sum total weight (Figure 18 and paragraph [0056] explain that the coincidence detector 1860 draws currents from lines 1840 and 1850 to determine a total weight.), and

a third current source drawing a difference current between the second current and the first current, wherein the difference current is used to derive the second weight signal (Figure 18 and paragraph [0056] explain that current source 1730 draws a current which is the difference between a total current and the first current, which represents a second weight.).

Regarding claim 10, Agarwal et al. and Donnelly et al. disclose the circuit of claim 8.

Donnelly et al. also disclose wherein the sum total weight equals a multiplication integer times four, and the first weight equals the multiplication integer times one of zero, one, two, three and four (Paragraph [0056] explains that the current source 1720 can be set to zero, meaning that during an adjustment period for a particular delay, if current source 1720 is zero, then the multiplication factor could be any number multiplied by zero which would obtain the total weight being a number times 4, i.e. the total sum divided by 4 would be the multiplication factor.).

Regarding claim 11, this claim is rejected under the same rationale as claim 1.

Regarding claim 12, please refer to the rejection of claim 1.

Regarding claim 13, this claim is rejected under the same rationale as claim 1.

Regarding claim 14, please refer to the rejection of claim 1.

Regarding claim 15, this claim is rejected under the same rationale as claim 2.

Regarding claim 16, Agarwal et al. and Donnelly et al. disclose the method of claim 13.

Donnelly et al. also disclose wherein deriving is performed by:

determining the location of a general requested delay in a phase diagram (Figure 12 shows the phase diagram used to derive the delay.); and

selecting the two phased signals such that they define a sector between on the phase diagram that encompasses the general required delay (Figure 6 and paragraph [0057] explain that the pair of signals selected are chosen based on the required delay.).

Regarding claim 17, this claim is rejected under the same rationale as claim 3.

Regarding claim 18, Agarwal et al. and Donnelly et al. disclose the method of claim 17.

Donnelly et al. also disclose the method further comprising:

selecting first and second weights so as to simulate the general requested delay within the sector (Paragraph [0056] explains that the weights are selected to generate the delay required.).

Regarding claim 19, this claim is rejected under the same rationale as claim 9.

Regarding claim 20, this claim is rejected under the same rationale as claim 10.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Murata et al. (US 6,144,355) discloses of a display device containing a PLL circuit for correcting a clock signal.


7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen G. Sherman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2941. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SS

22 May 2006



RICHARD IJERPE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600